

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
2 Dominic Surprenant (Cal. Bar No. 165861)  
3 dominicsurprenant@quinnemanuel.com  
4 Paul Slattery (Cal. Bar No. 285291)  
5 paulslattery@quinnemanuel.com  
6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
7 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543  
8 Telephone: (213) 443-3000  
9 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

6 Attorneys for Defendant ADT LLC, d/b/a  
ADT Security Services

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.  
8 L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)  
ltfisher@bursor.com  
9 Sarah N. Westcot (State Bar No. 264916)  
swestcot@bursor.com  
10 Annick M. Persinger (State Bar No. 272996)  
apersinger@bursor.com  
11 1990 North California Boulevard, Suite 940  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
12 Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs John Adamson, Emily  
14 Hogan, John Llewellyn and Jackie Warncke

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 JOHN ADAMSON, EMILY HOGAN,  
20 JOHN LLEWELLYN and JACKIE  
WARNCKE On Behalf of Themselves  
and All Others Similarly Situated,

21 | Plaintiffs.

VS.

23 ADT, LLC d/b/a ADT SECURITY  
24 SERVICES and DOES 1 through 10,  
inclusive,

25 Defendants.

## CV12-10558-DMG (FMOx)

**JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF  
PLAINTIFF PAMELA RUEBO  
ONLY[34]**

1           WHEREAS, on April 26, 2013, Defendant ADT LLC d/b/a ADT Security  
2 Services (“Defendant”) served on Plaintiff Pamela Rubeo (“Plaintiff”) a Federal  
3 Rule of Civil Procedure 68 Offer of Judgment [Doc. # 30];

4           WHEREAS, on May 16, 2013, Defendant filed Notice of Plaintiff’s  
5 Acceptance of Defendant’s Offer of Judgment [Doc. # 34];

6           NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED AS  
7 FOLLOWS:

8           1. Final judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff Pamela Rubeo and  
9 against Defendant ADT LLC d/b/a ADT Security Services on Plaintiff’s Complaint  
10 filed December 10, 2012 [Doc. # 1] and each and every one of Plaintiff Rubeo’s  
11 claims for relief therein. This judgment is entered without trial or adjudication of  
12 any fact or law, and without this judgment constituting evidence against or  
13 admission by any party with respect to any issue of fact or law.

14           2. Plaintiff Pamela Rubeo shall recover one thousand dollars (\$1,000),  
15 plus recoverable costs of seven-hundred and eleven dollars and ninety-five cents  
16 (\$711.95), from Defendant ADT LLC d/b/a ADT Security services. This amount  
17 shall be the entirety of Plaintiff Rubeo’s relief in this matter, shall resolve any and  
18 all of Plaintiff Rubeo’s claims in this action, including without limitation any and all  
19 claims for injunctive relief, damages, pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest,  
20 treble damages, attorneys’ fees, costs, expenses, or any other relief demanded by  
21 Plaintiff Rubeo.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23  
24 Dated: July 26, 2013



25  
26 DOLLY M. GEE  
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
28